

# An estimate for Brownian excursion measure

Laurence S. Field

January 19, 2015

## Abstract

We prove an estimate for Brownian excursion measure that follows from basic properties of Brownian motion.

We give a proof of Lemma 11 from [1]. The proof is more detailed and at a more elementary level than is appropriate for that paper.

To summarise the relevant definitions, let  $B$  be a complex Brownian motion. For a domain  $D$ , let

$$\tau_D = \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}.$$

We write  $h_D$  for the harmonic measure, i.e., if  $V \subset \partial D$ ,

$$h_D(z, V) = \mathbf{P}^z\{\tau_D \in V\}.$$

If  $D$  is a domain and  $V, W \subset \partial D$  are analytic boundary arcs, then the *excursion measure* in  $D$  between  $V$  and  $W$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_D(V, W) = \int_V \mathcal{E}_D(v, W) |dv|,$$

where, if  $n_v$  is the inward pointing normal at  $v$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(v, W) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} h_D(v + \epsilon n_v, W).$$

It is well known that  $\mathcal{E}_D(V, W)$  is conformally invariant (see, e.g., [2, Proposition 5.8]), and therefore it makes sense even when  $V, W$  are boundary arcs that are not analytic.

If  $V, W$  are not boundary arcs but merely the images of simple curves (that may pass through  $D$ ) then we set  $\mathcal{E}_D(V, W) = \mathcal{E}_{D \setminus (V \cup W)}(V, W)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  be a simple curve with  $\gamma(0) = 0$  and  $\max_t |\gamma(t)| = r \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  be a simple curve with  $\eta(0) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{dist}(0, \eta) = 1$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}(\gamma, \eta) \asymp r (\text{diam}(\eta) \wedge 1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $F : \mathbb{C} \setminus (\gamma \cup \gamma^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus s\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , for some  $s > 0$ , be the unique conformal map with  $F(z) = z + O(1)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . (Here  $\gamma^*$  denotes the complex conjugate.) By the Schwarz lemma and the Koebe 1/4-theorem applied to  $1/F(1/z)$ , we have  $r/4 \leq s \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Moreover, by symmetry  $F$  restricts to a conformal map  $f : \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \setminus s\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

Let  $\xi = f(\eta)$ . By the Koebe distortion theorem,  $R := \text{dist}(0, \xi) \in [\frac{9}{16}, \frac{25}{16}]$  and  $m := \frac{1}{2}(\text{diam}(\xi) \wedge R) \asymp \text{diam}(\eta) \wedge 1$ . Since excursion measure is conformally invariant, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}(sC, \xi) \asymp sm.$$

To prove the lower bound, let  $\zeta$  be a point in  $\xi \cap RC$ ; by reflection we may assume that  $\text{Re } \zeta \geq 0$ . We may choose a curve  $\hat{\xi} \subset \xi$  such that  $\zeta \in \hat{\xi}$  and  $\max_{z \in \hat{\xi}} |z - \zeta| = m \leq R/2$ . First observe that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}(sC, \xi) \geq \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H} \cap (R/2)\mathbb{D}}(sC, V) \inf_{v \in V} h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(v, \hat{\xi}),$$

where  $V = \frac{R}{2} \exp(i\pi[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]) \subset \frac{R}{2}C$ . From the Poisson kernel in a rectangle it is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H} \cap (R/2)\mathbb{D}}(sC, V) \asymp s$ . We must now prove that  $h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(v, \hat{\xi}) > cm$  for all  $v \in V$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \text{Re } \zeta + 2Ri, & \rho &= |\omega - (\zeta + im/2)| \asymp 1, \\ B &= B_\rho(\omega), & \text{and } W &= B_{m/100}(\zeta + im/2) \cap \partial B. \end{aligned}$$

By the Harnack inequality,

$$\inf_{v \in V} h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(v, \hat{\xi}) \asymp h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(\omega, \hat{\xi}) \geq h_B(\omega, W) \inf_{w \in W} h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(w, \hat{\xi}).$$

Since  $h_B(\omega, W) \asymp m$ , it suffices to prove that  $h_{\mathbb{H} \setminus (sC \cup \hat{\xi})}(w, \hat{\xi}) > c$  for  $w \in W$ . Indeed, let  $E$  be the event that a Brownian motion travels at all times within distance  $m/50$  of the path

$$\psi(t) = \zeta + e^{i\theta(t)}m/2, \quad \text{where } \theta(t) = \begin{cases} \pi/2 + t\pi/3, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 5\pi/6 - (t-1)\pi, & 1 \leq t \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

By considering the cases  $\text{Im } \zeta < R/2$  and  $\text{Im } \zeta \geq R/2$  separately, we can see that on the event  $E$ , the Brownian motion must hit  $\hat{\xi}$  before hitting either  $sC$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . By scaling, there exists a universal constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{P}^w(E) > c$  for all  $w \in W$ . This gives the lower bound.

To prove the upper bound, note the estimate

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}(sC, \xi) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}\left(sC, \frac{R}{2}C\right) \sup_{|z|=R/2} h_{\mathbb{H}}(z, \xi).$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{H}}(sC, \frac{R}{2}C) \asymp s$  (again using the Poisson kernel in a rectangle) and  $h_{\mathbb{H}}(z, \xi) < cm$  for  $|z| = R/2$ , the upper bound follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. S. Field and G. F. Lawler, Escape probability and transience for SLE, *Electron. J. Probab.* **20** (2015), no. 10, 1–14. [arXiv:1407.3314](#)
- [2] G. F. Lawler, *Conformally invariant processes in the plane*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 114, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.