

Étale site and Galois cohomology

November 15, 2018

1 Étale site of $\text{Spec } k$

The main reference here is [1].

Proposition 1.1 *The coverings in the étale site of $\text{Spec } k$ are the form refined to the form*

$$\left(\coprod_{j \in J_i} \text{Spec } k_{ij} \rightarrow k \right)_{i \in I}$$

where k_{ij}/k are finite separable extensions up to isomorphism.

Proposition 1.2 *An étale presheaf \mathcal{F} on $(\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}$ is an étale sheaf if and only if*

1. *for any disjoint union $\coprod U_i$ we have*

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\coprod U_i\right) = \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i)$$

2. *for all finite, separable extensions $k''/k'/k$ such that k''/k' is Galois we have that*

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'') \text{ is injective and } \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k') = \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'')^{\text{Gal}(k''/k')}.$$

Proof. Pick an arbitrary étale map $U \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ and an étale covering $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$. Then the equalizer diagram is

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_i \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i_0, i_1} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \times_U U_{i_1}).$$

This is equal to $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\coprod_i U_i) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}(\coprod U_i \times_k \coprod U_i)$. Thus it suffices to check the equalizer diagram for coverings consisting of a single map $(\coprod U_i \rightarrow U)$. One can check that it suffices to check the sheaf condition for U and U' connected. An étale scheme over $\text{Spec } k$ is a disjoint union $\coprod \text{Spec } k_i$ for finite separable extensions k_i/k . If we know that the functor respects disjoint unions, it then suffices to check the equalizer diagram for finite separable extensions. Furthermore, since any finite separable extension is contained

in a Galois extension, Galois coverings cover finite separable coverings. Hence assume that k''/k' is Galois. Then, since

$$k'' \otimes_{k'} k'' \cong \prod_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} k'', \quad x \otimes y \mapsto (xg(y))_g,$$

the exactness of the diagram corresponds to the exactness of

$$\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'') \rightrightarrows \prod_{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'').$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'')$ is injective and $\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k') = \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k'')^{\text{Gal}(k''/k')}$. This shows the sufficiency of the conditions. \square

Proposition 1.3 *The functors \mathbb{G}_m and \mathbb{G}_a are étale sheaves on $\text{Spec } k$.*

Proof. Let $k''/k'/k$ be finite, separable extensions. $\mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } k') = k'^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } k'') = k''^{\times}$ is injective. The fact that $\mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } k'')^{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} = (k''^{\times})^{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} = k'^{\times}$ follows from Galois theory. Similar argument for \mathbb{G}_a . \square

Proposition 1.4 *The functor $\mu_n : (\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}, (T \rightarrow \text{Spec } k) \mapsto \mu_n(\Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T))$ is an étale sheaf.*

Example 1.5 (Kummer sequence) *Let K be a field containing the all n -th roots of unity in \bar{K} , where $\text{char } K \nmid n$. Then we have a short exact sequence of étale sheaves on $\text{Spec } K$*

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0.$$

This is checked at all the geometric points, where the sequence becomes $0 \rightarrow \mu_n(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \bar{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{\times} \rightarrow 0$.

2 Relation of Étale cohomology to Galois cohomology

Let G be a topological group.

Proposition-Definition 2.1 *The following are equivalent:*

1. G is the limit of a cofiltered system of discrete, finite groups,
2. G is Hausdorff, compact and totally disconnected.

A topological group satisfying this condition is called a profinite group.

Proposition 2.2 *A subgroup of a compact group is open if and only if it is closed and has finite index.*

Example 2.3 (The examples to keep in mind) Any finite group is a profinite group. Let k be a field and fix a separable closure k^{sep} . Then the absolute Galois group G_k is a profinite group.

Definition 2.4 A G -module is an abelian group M with a G acting by group homomorphism. A discrete G -module is a G -module such that the action of G is continuous with respect to the discrete topology of M . Equivalently, for all $m \in M$, the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_G(m) \subset G$ is open. A morphism between discrete G -modules is G -equivariant group homomorphism.

Theorem 2.5 Let k be a field and choose a separable closure k^{sep} of k . We denote by $G_k := \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$. Then the functor

$$\text{Ab}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(\text{Spec } k) \rightarrow \{\text{discrete } G_k\text{-sets}\}, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \text{colim}_{k^{\text{sep}} \supset k' \supset k, k''/k' \text{ galois}} \mathcal{F}(k')$$

has the quasi-inverse

$$\left(\overline{M}: \text{Spec } k' \mapsto (M^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')}) \right) \leftarrow M,$$

i.e. the two categories are equivalent.

Proof. We first show that these functors are well-defined. By functionality of both \mathcal{F} and Spec , the actions of G_k on the $\mathcal{F}(k')$ is compatible, hence we get a G_k -action on $\text{colim}_{k^{\text{sep}} \supset k' \supset k, k''/k' \text{ galois}} \mathcal{F}(k')$. Since \mathcal{F} is an abelian sheaf, we know that $\text{colim}_{k^{\text{sep}} \supset k' \supset k, k''/k' \text{ galois}} \mathcal{F}(k')$ is a G_k -module. The stabilizer of any element $f = [l, a \in \mathcal{F}(l)] \in \text{colim}_{k^{\text{sep}} \supset k' \supset k} \mathcal{F}(k')$ is

$$\text{Stab}_{G_k}(f) = \ker(G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(l/k))$$

which is open. Hence $\text{colim}_{k^{\text{sep}} \supset k' \supset k} \mathcal{F}(k')$ is a discrete G_k -module.

\overline{M} respects disjoint unions by definition. Furthermore for $k''/k'/k$ finite separable and k''/k' Galois we have

$$\overline{M}(k') = M^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')} \rightarrow \overline{M}(k'')^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k'')} = M^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')}.$$

Furthermore, $\overline{M}(k'')^{\text{Gal}(k''/k')} = M^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')} = \overline{M}(k')$. Hence \overline{M} is an étale sheaf. Then

$$\text{colim } \overline{M}(k') = \text{colim } M^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')} \cong M.$$

Furthermore

$$\overline{\text{colim}_{k''} \mathcal{F}(k'')}(k') = (\text{colim}_{k''} \mathcal{F}(k''))^{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k')} \cong \mathcal{F}(k').$$

Thus the two functors are quasi-inverse to each other and thus the categories are equivalent to each other. \square

Proposition-Definition 2.6 The category of discrete G_k -modules is abelian and has enough injectives. We denote by $H^\bullet(G_k, M)$ the right derived functor of the functor of G_k -invariants $M \mapsto M^{G_k}$ and we call $H^\bullet(G_k, M)$ the Galois cohomology with coefficients in M .

Proposition 2.7 *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ab}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(k)$. Then*

$$H_{\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}}^n(\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^n(G_k, \text{colim } \mathcal{F}(k')).$$

Proof. The equivalence of categories identifies the functor of G_k -invariants with the global-sections functor. \square

3 Group cohomology of profinite groups

The reference here is [5], with details from [2]. The finite case is explained in [4].

Definition 3.1 *Let G be a profinite group and M a discrete G -module. The group of n -cochains of G with value in M is the group of continuous functions $G^n \rightarrow M$. We denote this by $C^n(G, M)$. Together with the coboundaries*

$$d : C^n(G, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, M)$$

$$f \mapsto df(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = g_1 f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n),$$

this forms a complex $C^\bullet(G, M)$. The homology of this complex is called the cohomology of G with coefficients in M .

Proposition 3.2 *Let G be a group. Denote by BG the classifying space of principal G -bundles. Then*

$$H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{sing}}^\bullet(BG, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Example 3.3 (Ch 2, Section 4, Ex 1 in [4]) *Let S be a set. Then the classifying space of the free group $F(S)$ is a bouquet of circles indexed by S . Thus*

$$H^i(F(S), \mathbb{Z}) = H^i(BF(S), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^S, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 3.4 *For any profinite group G and discrete G -module M , the group $H^0(G, M) = M^G$. Furthermore,*

$$H^1(G, M) = \frac{\{f \in C^1(G, M) \mid f(xy) = xf(y) + f(x)\}}{\{f \in C^1(G, M) \mid f(x) = xm - m \text{ for some } m \in M\}}.$$

If G acts trivially on M , then $H^1(G, M) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G, M)$.

Proof. The zero-th cohomology group $H^0(G, M)$ is the kernel of $C^0(G, M) = M \rightarrow C^1(G, M), m \mapsto (g \mapsto gm - m)$, whose elements are exactly the G -invariant elements of M . The coboundary is the map

$$C^1(G, M) \rightarrow C^2(G, M), f \mapsto ((a, b) \mapsto af(b) - f(ab) + f(a)).$$

Then

$$H^1(G, M) = \frac{\{f : G \rightarrow G \mid f(xy) = xf(y) + f(x)\}}{\{f : G \rightarrow G \mid f(x) = xm - m \text{ for some } m \in M\}}.$$

If G acts trivially on M , then this translates to $\frac{\{f : G \rightarrow M \mid f(xy) = f(x) + f(y)\}}{\{f : G \rightarrow M \mid f(x) = 0\}} = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G, M)$. \square

Example 3.5 Let L/K be a finite Galois extension. Then L^\times is a discrete $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -module. Then $H^0(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times) = K^\times$. We now show that $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times) = 1$. Pick a 1-cocycle f . Then $f(gh) = f(g)gf(h)$ or equivalently $gf(h) = f(g)^{-1}f(gh)$. The coboundary condition is $f'(g) = g(a)/a$ for some $a \in L^\times$. We have

$$\text{Nm}_{L/L^{(h)}}(f(h)) = \prod_{g \in \langle h \rangle} gf(h) \stackrel{1\text{-cocycle}}{=} \prod_{g \in \langle h \rangle} f(gh)/f(g) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} f(h^{n+1})/f(h^n) = 1.$$

Thus, by Hilbert 90 for $L/L^{(h)}$, there exists $b \in L^\times$ such that $f(g) = g(b)/b$.

Proposition 3.6 Let G be a profinite group. A map $G^n \rightarrow M$ into a discrete G -module is a n -cochain if and only if there exists an open normal subgroup $U \subset G$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^n & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ (G/U)^n & \longrightarrow & M^U. \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. If there exists such an U , then the morphism $G^n \rightarrow M$ is the composition of three continuous maps and is thus continuous. To show necessity, assume that f is continuous. Since G^n is compact, the image of G^n in M with the discrete topology must be finite. Thus, since M is a discrete G -module, the cochain f must factor through $M^{\cap'_{m \in \text{im}(f)} \text{Stab}_G(m)}$. Let V be an open normal subgroup $V \subset \cap'_{m \in \text{im}(f)} \text{Stab}_G(m)$. Thus f factors as $f : G \rightarrow M^V \rightarrow M$.

Furthermore, for any $m \in f(G^n)$, the fiber $f^{-1}(m)$ is compact. By continuity, for each $x \in f^{-1}(m)$, there exist an open normal subgroup $U_x \subset G$ such that $f(x(U_x)^n) = m$. Since $f^{-1}(m) = \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(m)} x(U_x)^n$ is compact, we can cover it by finitely many $x(U_x)^n$. Thus there exists an open normal subgroup $V' = \bigcap (U_x)$ such that $f(x(V')^n) = f(x)$ for all

$x \in G^n$.

Then set $U = V \cap V'$. Since $M^V \subset M^U$, the map f factors through $G \rightarrow M^U$. Furthermore, since $f(x(U)^n) \subset f(x(V')^n) = f(x)$, the map factors as stated in the statement. \square

Proposition 3.7 *Let G be a profinite group and M a discrete G -module. Then for each open normal subgroup $U \subset G$, the group of U -invariants M^U is a G/U -module and*

$$\operatorname{colim}_U H^i(G/U, M^U) \cong H^i(G, M).$$

Proof. We claim that the canonical morphism $\lim C^\bullet(G/U, M^U) \rightarrow C^\bullet(G, M)$ is an isomorphism. Since every continuous cochain $f : G^n \rightarrow M$ factors through some $(G/U)^n \rightarrow M^U$ by the previous Proposition, the map is a surjection. Since taking the cofiltered colimit commutes with taking homology, the statement follows. \square

Proposition 3.8 *For any short exact sequence of G -modules $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$, we get a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(G, M') \rightarrow C^\bullet(G, M) \rightarrow C^\bullet(G, M'') \rightarrow 0$$

exact in every degree.

Proof. Let U be an open normal subgroup of G . We have a left exact sequence of G/U -modules $0 \rightarrow M'^U \rightarrow M^U \rightarrow M''^U$. Since $C^n(G/U, \cdot) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G/U]}((G/U)^n, \cdot)$ we know that $C^n(G/U, \cdot)$ is left exact and thus this is a left-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^n(G/U, M'^U) \rightarrow C^n(G/U, M^U) \rightarrow C^n(G/U, M''^U).$$

Since $\lim C^n(G/U, M^U) = C^n(G, M)$ and filtered limits preserve exactness, it just remains to show that $C^n(G, M) \rightarrow C^n(G, M'')$ is surjective. Let $s : M'' \rightarrow M$ be a set-theoretic section of the surjection $M \rightarrow M''$. The map s is continuous with respect to the discrete topologies on M and M'' . Thus, for any cochain $f : G^n \rightarrow M''$, the map $s \circ f : G^n \rightarrow M$ is continuous and lifts f by construction. \square

Proposition 3.9 *The sequence of functors $(M \rightarrow H^i(G, M))$ forms a δ -functor whose degree zero one component is $M \rightarrow M^G$.*

Proof. The differential $C^0(G, M) \rightarrow C^1(G, M)$ is $m \mapsto (g \mapsto (g - 1)m)$. Hence $H^0(C^\bullet(G, M)) = M^G$. The existence of the long exact sequence follows the snake lemma, as for instance in singular cohomology. For any morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we get a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, M') & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, M) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, M'') \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, N') & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, N) & \longrightarrow & C^\bullet(G, N'') \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

exact in every degree. Hence we have the necessary commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^i(G, M'') & \longrightarrow & H^i(G, M') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^{i+1}(G, N'') & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(G, N')
\end{array}$$

and thus we have a δ -functor. □

Theorem 3.10 *For any profinite group G and discrete G -module we have a unique isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(C^\bullet(G, M)) \cong H^\bullet(G, M).$$

Lemma 3.11 *Let G be a finite group. Denote by P_n the free \mathbb{Z} -module with generators $(g_0, \dots, g_n) \in G^{n+1}$ (i.e. for $n \leq -2$, $P_n = 0$). We equip it with the diagonal action of G :*

$$g(g_0, \dots, g_n) = (gg_0, \dots, gg_n).$$

For $n \geq 0$, we define

$$d_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}, \quad (g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (g_0, \dots, \hat{g}_i, \dots, g_n).$$

Then

$$\dots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact complex of G -modules.

Proof. Its a computation to check that this is a complex. To show exactness, we construct a homotopy $(h_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which contracts the identity map. Then the identity and the zero map induce the same map on the cohomology of $(P_n, d_n)_n$. Set

$$h_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq -2 \\ h_{-1} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow P_0 & x \mapsto x(1), & n = -1 \\ h_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n+1}, & (g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto (1, g_0, \dots, g_n) \end{cases} .$$

It is a computation to check that this is a homotopy. □

Proof. [Proof of 3.10] It suffices to show that the functor $H^\bullet(G, \cdot)$ is effacable. For this fix an arbitrary injective discrete G -module J and $G = \lim_i G_i$.

1. Case: finite group The elements

$$(1, g_1, g_1g_2, \dots, g_1g_2 \cdots g_n)$$

form a basis of the left $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module P_n . We thus have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(P_n, I) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^n(G, I), \quad f \mapsto (g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto f(1, g_1, g_1g_2, \dots, g_1g_2 \cdots g_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

It's a computation to show that the differential $d_{n+1} : P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n$ induces the differential $C^n(G, I) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, I)$. Thus the applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(-, I)$ to the complex P_\bullet we obtain the complex $C^\bullet(G, I)$. The complex is exact away from degree 0. Since I is an injective G -module, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(-, I)$ is exact. Thus applying it to P_\bullet we get a complex which is exact away from degree 0. Thus $C^\bullet(G, I)$ is exact away from degree 0.

2. Case: Profinite group Let $U \subset G$ be an open normal subgroup. For each left G/U -module M we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G/U]}(M, I^U) = \mathrm{Hom}_G(M, I).$$

Thus, since I is an injective left G -module, we know that I^U is an injective left G/U -module. Since G/U is finite, we know that $H^n(G/U, I^U) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Then by Prop 3.7 $H^n(G, I) = 0$. \square

4 Some computations

Proposition 4.1 (Hilbert 90) *We have $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$.*

Proof. We know that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(G_k, \mathrm{colim}(k'^\times))$. Hence, by Ex. 3.5, we have $H^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{colim} H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(L/k), L^\times)$. In the finite group case, we know that $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(L/K), L^\times) = 0$. \square

Example 4.2 (Kummer Theory) *The associated long exact sequence to the Kummer sequence is*

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} K, \mu_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} K, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \dots$$

By Hilbert 90, we know that $H^1(\mathrm{Spec} K, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$. Furthermore, since G_K acts trivially on μ_n , we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathrm{Spec} K, \mu_n) = H^1(G_K, \mu_n) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_K, \mu_n).$$

Thus we get

$$K^\times / (K^\times)^n \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(G_K, \mu_n).$$

Example 4.3 *We compute $H^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$. The stalk of the étale sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is \mathbb{Z} with the trivial action of the absolute Galois group. Thus*

$$H^1(\mathrm{Spec} k, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) = H^1(G_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(G_k, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

References

- [1] B. Conrad, Étale cohomology, <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/Weil2seminar/Notes/etnotes.pdf>
- [2] B. Conrad, Profinite Group Cohomology, <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/210BPage/handouts/profcohom.pdf>
- [3] J.S. Milne, Lectures on Etale Cohomology, <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/LEC.pdf>
- [4] K. Brown Cohomology of groups
- [5] J.P. Serre Galois cohomology